Minocycline-Induced Pneumonitis with Bilateral Hilar Lymphadenopathy and Pleural Effusion
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A 65-year-old man developed respiratory failure with diffuse interstitial shadow, bilateral pleural effusion, and bilateral hilar lymphadenopathy on chest X-ray and CT, after intravenous administration of minocycline. Corticosteroid therapy was effective. The findings from bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) and transbronchial lung biopsy were compatible with eosinophilic pneumonia. Provocation test supported this diagnosis, but the lymphocyte stimulation test was negative. A review of the literature and the diagnoses of drug-induced pulmonary diseases are discussed. (Internal Medicine 33: 177–179, 1994)
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Introduction

Minocycline is commonly used for the treatment of various infectious diseases, especially in cases of acute bronchitis or urinary tract infections. But there have been only a few reports about the clinical manifestation of minocycline-induced pulmonary disease. We describe a case of minocycline-induced pulmonary infiltrates with eosinophilia presenting with bilateral pleural effusion and hilar lymphadenopathy who showed a good response to corticosteroid therapy.

Case Report

A 65-year-old man was admitted to our hospital on November 28, 1991 with orthopnea and dry cough. The chest X-ray on admission showed diffuse reticular shadows in the bilateral lung fields with bilateral pleural effusion. Five days before admission, he was intravenously administered minocycline 200 mg and cefotiam 1 g for acute bronchitis. The physical examination revealed temperature of 37.2°C and pulse 120/min, and regular. Chest auscultation revealed late inspiratory fine crackles over bilateral chest. Cervical phlebectasia, pretibial edema, or superficial lymph node swelling were not evident.

The laboratory data on admission were as follows: White cell count was 11,900/μl, with 82% neutrophils, 15% lymphocytes, and 3% monocytes. Eosinophils were not detected. Hemoglobin was 12.4 g/dl with a hematocrit value of 36.5%. Erythrocyte sedimentation rate was 104 mm/hr. C reactive protein was 9.2 mg/dl. Serum protein was 6.5 g/dl with 65% albumin. Serum IgE was 322 IU/ml (normal; less than 250 IU/ml). The liver and renal functions were normal. Electrocardiogram revealed sinus tachycardia. Ultrasonic cardiogram revealed no significant findings such as dilatation of left ventricles, enlargement of inferior vena cava, or pericardial effusion.

Chest X-ray on admission (Fig. 1) showed diffuse reticular shadows in bilateral lung fields with bilateral pleural effusion. Chest CT (Fig. 2) showed diffuse interstitial shadows in bilateral lung fields, bilateral pleural effusion, and bilateral enlargement of hilar lymph nodes. Bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) and transbronchial lung biopsy were performed. BAL was performed using 150 ml of sterile saline solution (recovery rate; 62%). Differential cell analysis revealed an increase in total cell counts (3.2×10⁵/ml), and 51% macrophages, 1% neutrophils, 42% lymphocytes, and 6% eosinophils. Analysis of lymphocyte subsets revealed the presence of 45.4% CD4+ cells and 33.1% CD8+ (CD4+/CD8+ ratio was 1.4). The biopsied specimens obtained from right middle lobe (S4a) (Fig. 3) showed alveolar septal thickening and infiltration of eosinophils and lymphocytes into the alveoli and alveolar septa. The examination of pleural effusion revealed neutrophil pleurisy; 95% neutrophils and 5%...
lymphocytes. The result of lymphocyte stimulation test for minocycline or cefotiam was negative.

Ten days after admission, chest X-ray findings and blood gas analysis were recovered only with oxygen therapy; provocation test with minocycline and cefotiam was then performed. Approximately seven hours after the oral administration of minocycline (100 mg), dry cough developed, and peripheral eosinophilia (32%) and a decrease in arterial oxygen pressure (PaO₂ 66.4 Torr) were observed. Following corticosteroid therapy (prednisolone 30 mg/day for seven days), these findings completely disappeared. During and after the provocation test, chest X-ray presented no significant findings. The provocation test with cefotiam was negative.

**Discussion**

Drug-induced pneumonitis occurs with many types of drugs and presents various clinical features (1). Drug-induced pulmonary diseases are classified into two categories; these due to cytotoxic drugs (2) or non-cytotoxic drugs (3). Numerous non-cytotoxic agents are reported to cause drug-induced pulmonary disease, and the list continues to grow (3). Although interstitial pneumonia or fibrosis is a common manifestation of drug-induced pulmonary disease associated with the use of either cytotoxic and non-cytotoxic drugs, there have been few cases which presented with bilateral hilar lymphadenopathy and/or pleural effusion. There have been some reports of interstitial pneumonia induced by antibiotics; they occurred as a result of non-cytotoxic drugs and allergic reaction (2). Almost all of these cases induced by antibiotics occur as pulmonary infiltrates with eosinophilia.
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2. Drug-induced pulmonary diseases are classified into two categories; these due to cytotoxic drugs (2) or non-cytotoxic drugs (3).
3. Numerous non-cytotoxic agents are reported to cause drug-induced pulmonary disease, and the list continues to grow (3).
4. (Image of chest X-ray showing diffuse reticular shadows in the bilateral lung fields, and hilar lymphadenopathy.)
5. (Image of chest CT on admission also showing diffuse linear and reticular shadows in the bilateral lung fields, bilateral pleural effusion, and the enlargement of bilateral hilar lymph nodes.)
6. (Image of biopsied specimens obtained from right middle lobe (S4b) showing septal thickening and infiltration of eosinophils and lymphocytes into the alveolar septa and bronchioles (HE stain, x40).)
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Minocycline continues to be one of the most commonly used antibiotics. Although it has been often prescribed for various infectious diseases, such as pneumonia, acute bronchitis, urinary tract infection, there have been only a few reports about minocycline-induced pulmonary disease (4). The present case was diagnosed by the provocation test for minocycline, and the clinical course, pathological findings, and cell-analysis of BAL fluid support the diagnosis. Lymphocyte stimulation test has been reported as a useful and reliable measure for the diagnosis of drug allergy and drug-induced hepatitis (5), but in this case the test for minocycline was negative.

We know of no other cases which presented with bilateral hilar lymphadenopathy and pleurisy induced by minocycline. Bilateral lymphadenopathy is usually seen in sarcoidosis, lymphoma, or viral infection, while bilateral hypersensitivity lymphadenopathy is uncommon, except for cases of anticonvulsants (6, 7). Furthermore, this case presented bilateral neutrophilic pleurisy and it disappeared within several days without any treatment. Although hypersensitivity pleurisy has been rarely reported, acute eosinophilic pneumonia, which is recognized as allergic interstitial pneumonia, sometimes complicates bilateral pleurisy (8). Yokoyama et al (9) reported a case of eosinophilic pneumonia induced by minocycline which presented Kerley B lines as a feature of a positive provocation test. These cases might present pleural effusion or pleural reactive change depending upon the hypersensitivity reaction induced by minocycline.

Recently, it was reported that BAL fluid in the early phase of antigen inhalation contains an increased percent of neutrophils as well as lymphocytes and the increased neutrophils decrease rapidly after admission with cessation of antigen inhalation (10). In contrast, in the chronic or the convalescent phase of hypersensitivity pneumonitis, there is a low percent of neutrophils and prominent increase of lymphocytes and the increased lymphocytes decrease gradually. In this case, pleural effusion observed in the early phase after the administration of minocycline contained an increased level of neutrophils and several days after admission, lymphocytosis was predominant in the BAL fluid. Although the blastogenesis was not detected by the lymphocyte stimulation test for minocycline, the results of provocation with minocycline, the lymphocytosis in BAL fluid, and lymphocytic alveolitis observed in biopsied specimens suggest that the immunological reactions except for delayed hypersensitivity are important in this patient. Some reports have documented the role of CD8+ T lymphocytes and the interaction of alveolar cells, macrophages and lymphocytes, in minocycline-induced pneumonitis (11, 12). Although the details of the mechanism remain unclear, it is important for us to be aware of such severe complications occurring in patients receiving minocycline. Further, in the diagnosis of minocycline-induced pulmonary disease, the lymphocyte stimulation test is not always reliable, whereas the provocation test is thought to be a safe and sure method.
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