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Nitric oxide-cGMP signaling regulates axonal elongation during optic nerve regeneration in the goldfish in vitro and in vivo
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Abstract

Nitric oxide (NO) signaling results in both neurotoxic and neuroprotective effects in CNS and PNS neurons, respectively, after nerve lesioning. We investigated the role of NO signaling on optic nerve regeneration in the goldfish (*Carassius auratus*). NADPH diaphorase staining revealed that nitric oxide synthase (NOS) activity was upregulated primarily in the retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) 5-40 days after axotomy. Levels of neuronal NOS (nNOS) mRNA and protein also increased in the RGCs alone during this period. This period (5-40 days) overlapped with the process of axonal elongation during regeneration of the goldfish optic nerve. Therefore, we evaluated the effect of NO signaling molecules upon neurite outgrowth from adult goldfish axotomized RGCs in culture. NO donors and dibutyryl cGMP increased neurite outgrowth dose-dependently. In contrast, a nNOS inhibitor and siRNA, specific for the nNOS gene, suppressed neurite outgrowth from the injured RGCs. Intraocular dibutyryl cGMP promoted the axonal regeneration from injured RGCs *in vivo*. None of these molecules had an effect on cell death/survival in this culture system. This is the first report showing that NO-cGMP signaling pathway through nNOS activation is involved in neuroregeneration in fish CNS neurons after nerve lesioning.
Introduction

Nitric oxide (NO) is a free radical gas that acts as an intracellular and intercellular messenger in the nervous system (Garthwaite et al. 1999). It is involved in a variety of biological phenomena, including axonal targeting, synaptogenesis, neuronal plasticity, and cell survival (Estévez et al. 1998; Posada and Clarke 1999; Cogen and Cohen-Cory, 2000). There are three classes of nitric oxide synthase (NOS) in the nervous system, the constitutive neuronal and endothelial isoforms and the inducible isoform (nNOS, eNOS and iNOS, Alderton et al. 2001). NO signaling appears to have an adverse effect upon neuronal survival after axotomy in the visual system. Normally, axotomized mammalian retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) die rapidly in large numbers (Grafstein and Ingoglia 1982; Villegas-Pérez et al. 1993). Axotomized rat RGCs increase nNOS expression and degenerate via NO-mediated excitotoxicity (Lee et al. 2003). On the other hand, in the PNS, sensory neurons of the dorsal root ganglia and motor neurons of facial and hypoglossal nuclei increase nNOS expression and can survive for a significant period (6 weeks) following nerve transection (Verge et al. 1992; Yu 1994). Such a line of evidence suggests that the response of NOS activity after axotomy is different in these nervous tissues in CNS and PNS and therefore the role of NOS induction in the nervous system after axotomy is not yet understood.

In contrast to mammals, fish RGCs can survive and regrow their axons, even after optic nerve transection (Sperry 1948; Grafstein 1975; Kato et al. 1999; Koriyama et al. 2007; Homma et al. 2007). Thus, the fish visual system is an ideal model for CNS regeneration (Kato et al. 2007). The regrowing optic axons begin to sprout 5-6 days, reinnervate the tectum within 5-6 weeks, and complete visual function is recovered within 5-6 months after axotomy (Kato et al. 2007). Our objective is to evaluate the role of NOS-NO system upon nerve regeneration, because NO appears to have a variety of interesting local actions following nerve injury. NO also activates soluble guanylate cyclase (sGC), which leads to the formation of cGMP and thus the activation of cGMP-dependent protein kinase (PKG,
Garthwait et al. 1999). We evaluated the role of NO-cGMP in goldfish RGCs following optic nerve lesion using specific genetic and pharmacological procedures. We also investigated the pathways for NO-cGMP signaling during nerve regeneration. Our data show that NO-cGMP signaling, as a result of nNOS activation, plays a key role for optic nerve regeneration in the goldfish retina.
Material and methods

Animals

We used common goldfish (*Carassius auratus*, 7-8 cm body length). Goldfish was anesthetized with ice-cold water. The tissue surrounding the eye was removed to provide access to the optic nerve. We cut the optic nerve 1 mm away from the posterior of the eyeball using scissors. Following this, the fish were held at 22°C until they were euthanized for *in vitro* or *in vivo* sampling.

Chemicals

We used the following chemicals, obtained from Sigma-Aldrich: dibutyryl cGMP (dbcGMP), 3-isobutyl-1-methyl-xanthine (IBMX), N-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester (L-NAME), 1H-[1,2,4] oxadiazole[4,3-α]quinoxaline-1-one (ODQ), Rp-β-Phenyl-1,N2-etheno-8-bromoguanosine 3’,5’-cyclic monophosphorothioate (Rp-cGMPS), S-nitroso-N-acetyl-penicillamine (SNAP). The nNOS inhibitor, S-ethyl-N-[4-(trifluoromethyl) phenyl] isothiourea (ETPI), was purchased from Alexis Co. (San Diego, CA, USA), and (+/-)-(E)-4-methyl-2-[(E)-hydroxyimino]-5-nitro-3-hexenamide (NOR2) was purchased from Dojin Chemical Co., Ltd. (Kumamoto, Japan). 2-(4-carboxyphenyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethylimidazoline-1-oxyl-3-oxide (c-PTIO) was gently a gift from Dr. K. Chiba and Dr. M. Yamazaki.

NADPH diaphorase staining

NADPH diaphorase (NADPHd) staining was performed following the method of Williams et al. (1994). Tissue fixation and cryosection were performed as described previously (Barthel and Raymond 1993). Briefly, the eyes were enucleated and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde solution containing 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) and 5% sucrose for 2 h at 4°C. The sucrose concentration was gradually increased from 5 to 20%. The eyes were then embedded
in optimal cutting temperature (OCT®) compound (Tissue Tek; Miles, Eikhart, IN) and
cryosectioned at 12 µm thickness. The frozen sections were mounted onto silane-coated glass
slides and air-dried. The slides were then brought to room temperature and incubated
overnight in 0.1 M Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) containing 0.3% Triton-X 100. Each sample was stained
in buffer containing NADPH and 4-nitroblue tetrazolium chloride (Roche) for 2-3 h at 37°C.

Measurement of nitrite production
We measured the concentration of nitrite in the retina using Griess method (as an indicator of
NO production) (Green et al. 1982). After homogenization with hypotonic (10 times diluted)
PBS including 1 mM EGTA and centrifugation (12,000 x g, 10 min), the retinal supernatant
was mixed with Griess reagent (1% sulfanilamide solution and 0.1%
N-(1-naphthyl)-ethylenediamine dihydrochloride) and allowed to sit at room temperature for
10 min. We then measured the absorbance at 550 nm using a microplate reader (Model 680,
Bio Rad). We used sodium nitrite to construct a standard curve.

goldfish nNOS cDNA cloning
Forward and reverse primers for goldfish nNOS cDNA were constructed based on zebrafish
cDNA sequences (data base No. AY211528, Gen Bank). We sequenced the resulting cDNA
fragment and compared it to the zebrafish nNOS cDNA sequence using the FASTA program.

In situ hybridization
At various times after optic nerve transection, we performed in situ hybridization on the
goldfish retina using digoxigenin-labeled RNA probes (Roche Diagnostics FmbH, Germany),
following the method of Komminoth (1992). nNOS cRNA probes from the 490 bp nNOS
cDNA fragment were generated with SP6 and T7 RNA polymerases (Roche Diagnostics
FmbH, Germany).
**Immunohistochemistry**

We studied the expression of nNOS protein in the goldfish retina using immunohistochemistry. The retinal tissues were fixed and cryosectioned as described above. After washing and blocking with Blocking One (Nakalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan), the retinal sections were incubated with the primary anti-nNOS antibody (Sigma, 1:100) at 4°C overnight. The sections were then incubated with anti-IgG (Santa Cruz, 1:200) for 1 h at room temperature. The signal was detected using horseradish peroxidase-conjugated streptavidin and 3-amino-9-ethylcarbazole (Dako, Denmark). In the GAP-43 immunohistochemical study, retinal explants were fixed by 0.1% glutaraldehyde (Wako, Osaka, Japan) for 30 min at room temperature. Neurites from retinal explants were stained using anti-GAP-43 antibody (Santa Cruz, 1:500).

**Western blotting analysis**

The retinas were immersed in Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.4) containing a protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich), and were then sonicated and centrifuged as described previously (Koriyama et al. 2008). Following SDS-PAGE, the proteins were transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane (Amersham International, U.K.). The membrane was incubated in a blocking buffer for 1 h at room temperature, then probed with primary anti-nNOS antibody (Sigma-Aldrich, 1:100) in blocking buffer at 4°C overnight. Western blot analysis of β-actin was also performed with anti-β-actin antibody (GeneTex, Inc., San Antonio, TX, 1:250). As the following this, the membrane was washed, probed with a secondary anti-IgG antibody (Santa Cruz, 1:100) in blocking buffer for 1 h at room temperature, then re-washed. The signal (160 kDa of nNOS protein) was detected using 3,3’-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride. The proteins on the acrylamide gel were stained using a rapid stain Coomassie Brilliant Blue kit (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto). The nNOS protein band was densitometrically analyzed using Scion Image software (Scion Corp., Frederick, MD). All
experiments were repeated at least 3 times.

**Retinal explant culture**

The retinal explant culture was performed as described previously (Matsukawa et al. 2004). Briefly, the goldfish retinas were isolated under sterile conditions 5 days after optic nerve injury. Retinal explants were cultured at 28°C in L-15 medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) in a poly-D-lysine coated, 35 mm dish. Inhibitors and NO donors were added to the culture medium. After 5 days we observed neurite outgrowth using phase contrast microscopy. Positive neurite outgrowth was defined on the basis of the length (>150 µm) and density (more than 5 neurites per explant) of the neurites, following the description in our previous study (Sugitani 2006). The percentage of explants with long neurites was compared with the control culture (no treatment).

**RNA interference (RNAi)**

We used small interfering RNA (siRNA) for the target region to goldfish nNOS mRNA, 5’-GACAGCUCUCGAUACAACA-3’ (Sigma Aldrich Japan) and randomly shuffled sequence (5’-GAGCCAAUACCCGAUAAUC-3’). Transfection of siRNA into the retinal explants was carried out using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). To suppress the nNOS expression in transcription levels, the goldfish optic nerve was cut 2 days before eye nucleation. Goldfish retinas were isolated and sectioned in to 0.5 mm squares, and resuspended in L-15 medium without serum or antibiotics. For each transfection sample, 2 µl Lipofectamine 2000 diluted in 98 µl L-15 medium, was mixed with 100 pmol siRNA, diluted in 100 µl of L-15 medium, then incubated for 20 min at room temperature to allow complex formation. The mixture was then added to 0.8 ml of the resuspended retinal culture. Retinal explants were gently mixed in the culture media for 3 h, then divided into two 35 mm culture dishes and incubated overnight at 28°C. Following this, we added FBS to each dish and
To determine the cell viability in the retinal explants treated with various kinds of reagents, we performed 3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay. Briefly, 40 µl of solution of 2.75 mg/ml MTT (Dojin, Japan) in PBS was added to each 400 µl medium as described previously (Koriyama et al. 2003). The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 6 h before HCl/isopropanol was added into the medium to solubilize the reaction product formazan. Absorption at 550 nm of each sample was measured with a microplate reader. Data were expressed relative to the control.

Anterograde labeling of regenerating optic axons with cholera toxin B

The goldfish had optic nerve crush and were divided to two groups, in which one was injected with intraocular 2 µl of dbcGMP (20 mM in PBS) and the other was injected with PBS alone at the day of nerve crush (0 day). The both groups of goldfish were intraocularly labeled with 5 µl of cholera toxin B (2.5 µg/µl in PBS, CTB, Sigma-Aldrich) at 8 days after nerve crush. Then, the optic nerves of both groups were isolated, fixed and cryosectioned longitudinally in 16 µm thickness at 10 days after nerve injury. The sections were incubated with primary anti-CTB antibody (Acris, Antibodies, Hiddenhausen, Germany, 1:100). The signal was detected using Alexa Fluor IgG (Molecular Probes).

Retrograde labeling of regenerating RGCs

The regenerating RGCs were retrogradely labeled using 1 µl of 2% FluoroGold (Biotium, Hayward, CA). The label was administered to three groups of goldfish: 1) control goldfish, 2) goldfish with crushed nerves and an intraocular injection of 2 µl of PBS, and 3) goldfish with crushed nerves and an intraocular injection of 2 µl of 20 mM dbcGMP, by slowly injecting...
into the central part of the tectum. Both optic nerves were crushed using forceps, as previously described (Koriyama et al. 2007). The number of regenerating RGCs was calculated by counting the positive cells in the central part of the whole-mounted retina, which had been treated with FluoroGold 5 days before sacrifice, 3 weeks after nerve injury. We counted the number of positive RGCs at 25 visual fields per retina using a microscopic and ImageJ analyzing software.

**Statistics**

We report the mean ± S.E.M. for 3-5 experiments. Differences between groups were analyzed using ANOVA followed with Dunnett's multicomparison test. P values < 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.
Results

Increase in NOS activity in goldfish RGCs following nerve injury

NOS activity is associated with NADPH diaphorase (NADPHd) activity (Dawson et al. 1991). Thus we measured the changes in NADPHd using histochemistry after nerve lesioning. The distribution of NADPHd positive cells in the control retina was similar to previous observations in fish (Weiler and Kewitz 1993; Liepe et al. 1994; Devadas et al. 2001). We observed intense staining in the photoreceptor cells and horizontal cells. Conversely, the staining was much weaker in the inner nuclear layer (INL) and the ganglion cell layer (GCL) (Fig. 1A). The number of NADPHd positive cells increased significantly in the GCL 5 days after axotomy, and peaked 20 days (Fig. 1B) after axotomy. NADPHd staining returned to control levels by day 40 (Fig. 1C). We did not observe any change in the NADPHd signal in other neuronal components during this period.

The amount of nitrite produced in the retina increased within 5 days after lesioning, peaked at day 20, and decreased gradually thereafter (Fig. 1D). The concentration of nitrite was reduced by 23.5% of control in the presence of intraocular L-NAME, a NOS inhibitor (data not shown).

Distribution of nNOS mRNA in the goldfish retina during optic nerve regeneration

To evaluate changes in nNOS mRNA expression during optic nerve regeneration, we constructed primers for goldfish nNOS cDNA using the zebrafish cDNA sequence (Gen Bank No. AY 211528). We obtained a single band consisting of a 490 bp goldfish cDNA fragment using RT-PCR. The cDNA fragment isolated from goldfish retinal RNA exhibited a high degree of homology (90.8%) with the zebrafish oxygenase domain sequence (1427 -1916 bp) for nNOS cDNA (data not shown), suggesting that the fragment was goldfish nNOS cDNA. Using the nNOS cRNA probe, we studied the change in nNOS mRNA in the goldfish retina after optic nerve injury with in situ hybridization. The pattern of nNOS mRNA expression in
the control retina was similar to that observed in NADPHd staining. The signal was strongest in the photoreceptors and weaker in the INL and the GCL (Fig. 2A). The levels increased significantly only in the GCL, 20 days after axotomy (Fig. 2B). Levels decreased gradually thereafter and were similar to the control retina 40 days after nerve lesioning (Fig. 2C).

<----- Fig. 3

Upregulation of nNOS protein in the goldfish retina after axotomy
We also measured levels of nNOS protein in the goldfish retina after optic nerve injury using Western blotting analysis. The levels of nNOS protein increased at 5 days, peaked at 20 days, and returned to the control level 40 days after axotomy (Fig. 3A). Levels of β-actin were unchanged during this period. To determine where the upregulation of nNOS protein was localized, we performed immunohistochemistry. We observed strong immunoreactivity in the photoreceptor cells and INL, and weak immunoreactivity in the GCL of the control retina (Fig. 3B). nNOS immunoreactivity significantly increased only in the GCL at 5 days and peaked at 20 days after nerve lesioning. Conversely, there was no change in immunoreactivity in the other neurons (Fig. 3C). The increase in nNOS reactivity in the GCL was localized to the RGCs by simultaneous staining of TUJ1, a specific ganglion cell marker (data not shown). The level of immunoreactivity in the RGCs decreased to that of the control retina 40 days after axotomy (Fig. 3D).

<----- Fig. 4

Neurite outgrowth from adult goldfish retina controlled by NO generation
We tested the effect of NO generator or NOS inhibitor upon neurite outgrowth in retinal explant culture. The percentage of retinal explants with outgrowing neurites was compared to that of the control retinal explant, in which the optic nerve had 5 days post conditioning lesion (Landreth and Agranoff 1976). Spontaneous neurite outgrowth in the primed control retina during 5 days of culture was designated as 100% (Fig. 4A and B, control). We observed a significant number of explants with long neurites in the control culture (Fig. 4C). NOR2, a
NO generator at doses of 50-100 µM increased neurite outgrowth 150% of the control. However, we saw no effect at doses less than 50 µM of NOR2 (Fig. 4A). In the presence of 100 µM of NOR2, a large number of explants with long neurites (> 200 µm in length) could be seen (Fig. 4D). SNAP, an another NO generator at 500 µM also induced long neurite outgrowth (Fig. 4E). The majority of neurites induced from explants was positively stained with anti-GAP43 immunohistochemistry (Fig. 4F). In contrast, neurite outgrowth was dose-dependently inhibited by L-NAME, a universal NOS inhibitor (Fig. 4B). Neurite outgrowth was significantly inhibited (40-60%) at doses of 200-400 µM L-NAME relative to the control (Fig. 4B and G). Next, we tested the effect of combined L-NAME plus NOR2 on neurite outgrowth. NOR2 (50-100 µM) significantly reversed the inhibition of neurite outgrowth by 400 µM L-NAME (Fig. 4B). The combination of L-NAME plus NOR2 restored levels to 80-90% of the control (Fig. 4H, L-NAME plus NOR2).

Timing of NO stimulation on neurite outgrowth from adult goldfish retina.

We tested the effect of c-PTIO, a NO specific scavenger on neurite outgrowth in culture. Carboxy-PTIO (100 µM) significantly suppressed the neurite outgrowth (40% of control, Fig. 5A and 5C) as compared to the control (Fig. 5A and 5B). Therefore, we used this c-PTIO to determine the action time of NO with a NO generator, NOR2. Carboxy-PTIO was added to the culture medium at different time points after NOR2 stimulation. Carboxy-PTIO (100 µM) application blocked the neurite outgrowth at the same time (0 h) after NOR2 (100 µM) treatment (Fig. 5A). Carboxy-PTIO application at 3 h after NOR2 treatment moderately blocked the neurite outgrowth (Fig. 5A and 5D) but its application at 6 h after NOR2 treatment did not block the neurite outgrowth like NOR2 alone (Fig. 5A and 5E).
Neurite outgrowth from adult goldfish retina controlled by nNOS-NO system

To determine the effect of nNOS on neurite outgrowth, we used nNOS specific genetic and pharmacological approaches. First, the nNOS specific inhibitor ETPI (10, 20, 40 µM) suppressed neurite outgrowth by 40-60% relative to the control (Fig. 6A). The effective dose of ETPI (10-40 µM) was one order of magnitude lower than that of the nonspecific inhibitor, L-NAME (400 µM) (cf. Fig. 4B). We did not observe any significant neurite outgrowth in this culture (Fig. 6B). We then tested the effect of nNOS cDNA specific RNAi. The levels of nNOS protein were decreased by 60% following nNOS specific RNAi treatment, relative to the control (Fig. 6C). Conversely, the levels of nNOS protein did not change in cultures of RNAi for scramble sequences (Fig. 6C). The nNOS specific RNAi treatment significantly inhibited neurite outgrowth by 45% relative to the control or scrambled treatment (Fig. 6D). We observed only short neurites following treatment with nNOS specific RNAi (Fig. 6F) which was in contrast to the longer neurites in the scrambled treatment (Fig. 6E). Taken together, these data suggest that nNOS preferentially generates NO to promote neurite outgrowth in the goldfish retina after axotomy.

Neurite outgrowth from the adult goldfish retina is controlled by cGMP

We investigated the involvement of cGMP upon neurite outgrowth to elucidate the pathway for the nNOS-NO signaling cascade. A single treatment of IBMX (500 µM), a nonspecific inhibitor of cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterase (PDE), which increase intracellular cyclic nucleotide had no effect on neurite outgrowth relative to the control (Fig. 7A). In contrast, SNAP, an NO donor (500 µM), significantly increased neurite outgrowth (Fig. 7A, cf. Fig. 4E). There was no difference in neurite outgrowth between the explants treated with SNAP alone or a combination of IBMX and SNAP (Fig. 7A). Next, we investigated the effect of dbcGMP, a membrane permeable cGMP analog, on neurite outgrowth in the adult goldfish retina. Dibutryryl cGMP increased neurite outgrowth dose-dependently (Fig. 7B). We observed
a large number of explants with long neurites following treatment with 400 µM dbcGMP (Fig. 7E) relative to the control (Fig. 7D). We saw no effect at the lowest dose of dbcGMP (100 µM) (Fig. 7B).

To determine which NO or cGMP induces neurite outgrowth, we tested the effect of ODQ, a selective sGC inhibitor, on neurite outgrowth. ODQ (100 µM) clearly inhibited neurite outgrowth by 30% relative to the control (Fig. 7C). We did not observe any significant neurite outgrowth by ODQ in this culture (Fig. 7F). Interestingly, the combination of 100 µM ODQ and 200-400 µM dbcGMP reversed the effect of ODQ alone (Fig. 7C). We observed a large number of explants with long neurites in this culture of dbcGMP and ODQ (Fig. 7G).

Last, we tested the effect of Rp-cGMPS, a specific inhibitor of PKG on neurite outgrowth from goldfish retina. Rp-cGMPS dose-dependently inhibited the neurite outgrowth (Fig. 7H). Only a small number of explants with short neurites were observed in this culture (10 µM Rp-cGMPS) (Fig. 7I). Furthermore, the combination of 500 µM SNAP and 10 µM Rp-cGMPS did not restore neurite outgrowth (Fig. 7H).

Cell viability in the retinal explants.

To determine, whether or not the NO-cGMP related agents affect to cell viability, we quantified cell survival by MTT assay after treatment. Fig. 8 shows that NO generators, all inhibitors, NO scavenger and dbcGMP have not any effect on cell viability relative to the control.

CyclicGMP promotes optic nerve regeneration in vivo.

As dbcGMP actually induced neurite outgrowth from adult goldfish retina in culture, we studied effect of dbcGMP on optic nerve regeneration in vivo. We measured number of regenerating fibres in the optic nerve and reinnervating RGCs to the tectum by using anterograde and retrograde labeling methods. Intraocular injection of CTB revealed that a
large number of nerve fibres in goldfish treated with dbcGMP were proceeding over the crush site (marked with an asterisk) at 10 days after nerve injury (Fig. 9B) as compared to those in goldfish treated with PBS alone (Fig. 9A). Tectal injection of FluoroGold revealed that a large number of retinal ganglion cells were retrogradely labeled in the intact fish (Fig. 9C). The quantitative data for the labeled RGCs are shown in Fig. 9F. In the goldfish with intraocular injection of 2 µl of PBS, the number of labeled RGCs was only 40% of the intact retina (Figs. 9D and 9F). In the goldfish with intraocular injection of 2 µl of 20 mM dbcGMP (intraocular concentration ~400 µM), the number of labeled RGCs was increased 70% of the intact retina 3 weeks after nerve crush (Figs. 9E and F). Together, our results suggest that dbcGMP promotes goldfish optic nerve regeneration in vivo.
Discussion

**nNOS activation in the goldfish RGCs after optic nerve injury.**

In the control goldfish retina, the expression of nNOS mRNA and protein could be seen positively in all nuclear layers (outer, inner nuclear and ganglion cell layers). Following the optic nerve transection, the nNOS expression increased primarily in the ganglion cell layer at 5 days, peaked at 20 days and then returned to the control level by 40 days after axotomy (Fig. 2 and 3). The positive cells in the ganglion cell layer were confirmed as RGCs by TUJI immunohistochemistry. NOS activity in NADPHd and NO production in nitrite product further supported this result. The goldfish RGCs can regrow their axons and reinnervate the tectum within this period of 5-40 days after injury (Kato et al. 2007). In rat retina, Lee et al. (2003) reported that nNOS protein levels increased 2.5 fold in the RGCs at 5-7 days, and then decreased 1.3 fold at 28 days after injury. Almost 90% of rat RGCs rapidly died within this period of 7-28 days after axotomy. Accompanying to the nNOS activation in the RGCs after axotomy, there is high expression of iNOS in the Müller cells in rat (Koeberle and Ball 1999). The iNOS activation in the glial Müller cells after optic nerve injury is limited to the mammalian retina (Koistinaho and Sagar 1995). In our goldfish data, there is no increase of NADPHd staining in the Müller cells after axotomy (Fig. 1). The over production of NO in both the RGCs and the Müller glia in rat might result in the apoptosis of RGCs (Neufeld et al. 1999), although the sensitivity of NO on the survival or cell death is different (Goureau et al. 1999, Kawasaki et al. 2000). Depending on intracellular concentration of NO, it can work as a neuromodulator or it can be toxic in the CNS (Cudeiro and Rivadulla 1999). In our previous papers, cell survival signals of phospho-Akt and phospho-Bad are rapidly upregulated in the goldfish RGCs 2-5 days, whereas the survival signals are rapidly downregulated in the rat RGCs 2-5 days after axotomy (Koriyama et al. 2006, Homma et al. 2007). In coupled with these results, the expression balance in NOS isoforms, particularly in the nNOS and iNOS, might be an importance for determining the neuroprotective or
neurodegenerative fate of CNS neurons after nerve injury.

The expression of nNOS is generally regulated by various pathological conditions such as nerve injury and hypoxia via transcriptional activation of c-Jun (Vizzard 1997, Prabhakar et al. 1996, Herdegen et al. 1993). The expression of nNOS can also be induced by many extracellular factors, such as nerve growth factor (Schonhoff et al. 2001), brain-derived neurotrophic factor, NT-3 and NT-4 (Huber et al. 1995), and retinoic acid (Ogura et al. 1996, Ghigo et al. 1998) in neurons and basic fibroblast growth factor in both neurons (Wen et al. 1995) and glial cells (Blanco et al. 2000). As for retinoic acid, we have recently showed that retinoic acid signaling was involved in the early stage of optic nerve regeneration (Nagashima et al. 2009). Levels of retinaldehyde dehydrogenase 2 (RALDH2) mRNA rapidly increased and peaked in the goldfish RGCs at 7-10 days after optic nerve lesion. The induction peak of RALDH2 is a little bit earlier than that of nNOS (at 20 days after axotomy). Therefore retinoic acid may be a candidate molecule for regulation of nNOS induction. We need further experiments to elucidate it.

**NO-cGMP cascade on neurite outgrowth from adult goldfish injured retina.**

In the retinal explant culture system, NO donors and dbcGMP dose-dependently enhanced neurite outgrowth for 5 days. Conversely, NOS inhibitor, sGC inhibitor and PKG inhibitor significantly suppressed neurite outgrowth about 40% of control. The neurite outgrowing cells in the retinal explant culture were found to be only RGCs (Johns et al. 1978). The outgrowth of neurites from RGCs was further confirmed by anti-GAP43 immunohistochemistry (Fig. 4E, Kaneda et al. 2008). A specific nNOS inhibitor, ETPI and a siRNA against nNOS gene significantly and effectively suppressed the neurite outgrowth. Although the NO donor replaced the suppression of neurite outgrowth by L-NAME, dbcGMP replaced the suppression of neurite outgrowth by ODQ, however, the NO donor did not replaced the suppression of neurite outgrowth by Rp-cGMPS. These results strongly support the
involvement of NO-cGMP signaling on neurite outgrowth in the injured goldfish RGCs. The data also support the stream of NO-cGMP signaling cascade from initial nNOS to final PKG activation (Fig. 10). By using a specific NO scavenger, c-PTIO in combination with NO generator, NOR2, we could determine the time of switching on this signal. The switching time is 3-6 hrs after NOR2 stimulation, because the addition of c-PTIO 3-6 h after NOR2 treatment did not block the neurite outgrowth (Fig.5).

IBMX, a PDE inhibitor, did not evoke any neurite outgrowth in retinal explant culture and IBMX plus SNAP did not have any additive effect on neurite outgrowth as compared with that of SNAP alone (Fig.7). These results further suggest that the neurite outgrowth from the injured goldfish RGCs is due to cGMP but not to cAMP (Tsukada et al. 2002). Baldridge and Fischer (2001) reported that the IBMX and SNAP at the same concentration in our study induced positive immunoreactivity of cGMP in the goldfish RGCs, but IBMX alone did not induce any cGMP immunoreactivity in the retina. In the explant culture system, retina is certainly composed of neuronal and non-neuronal cells. The fact that NO-cGMP related agents used have no effect upon cell survival or cell death in this experimental condition as shown in Fig. 8 further supports the neuroregenerative action of NO generation after optic nerve injury. We have no data of NOS activation in the Müller glia in the goldfish retina after axotomy (Fig.1). Therefore, it can be concluded that NO generation in the fish retina after optic nerve injury is different in properties from that in rat (Koeberle and Ball, 1999, Kawasaki et al. 2000). Taken together, the data strongly indicate that nNOS activation works as promotion of neurite outgrowth from the injured RCGs through NO-cGMP-PKG signaling, but not protein S-nitrosylation (Stroissnigg et al. 2007) in the goldfish RGCs after optic nerve lesion. Intraocular dbcGMP also promoted optic nerve regeneration in vivo (Fig. 9).

In summary, we report for the first time the nNOS induction leading to promotion of neurite outgrowth in the goldfish retina after optic nerve injury. The neurite outgrowth from the injured RGCs was significantly promoted by stimulation of NO-cGMP signaling
molecules. Therefore, we conclude that NO-cGMP signaling pathway plays a key role for optic nerve regeneration in goldfish.
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Figure legends

Fig. 1
NADPHd staining and nitrite production in the goldfish retina after optic nerve lesioning.
(A-C) NADPHd staining increased primarily in the GCL 20 days after lesioning (B) compared with the control retina (A), and returned to the control level 40 days (C) after lesioning. ONL: outer nuclear layer, INL: inner nuclear layer, GCL: ganglion cell layer. Scale bar = 100 µm. (D) Nitrite production was measured in the retinas at various time points after lesioning. The concentration of nitrite increased within 5 days, peaked at 20 days, and returned to baseline 40 days after injury. *P<0.05 vs. control (n=4).

Fig. 2
Upregulation of nNOS mRNA in the goldfish retina after optic nerve lesion. (A-C) In situ hybridization of nNOS mRNA in the goldfish retina during optic nerve regeneration. Levels of nNOS mRNA increased primarily in the GCL after 5 days and peaked at 20 days after lesioning (B) relative to the control (A). The levels of nNOS mRNA in the GCL gradually decreased thereafter up to 40 days (C) after lesioning. ONL: outer nuclear layer, INL: inner nuclear layer, GCL: ganglion cell layer. Scale bar = 100 µm.

Fig. 3
Upregulation of nNOS protein in the goldfish retina after optic nerve lesioning. (A) Western blotting analysis of nNOS protein showing a specific band at 160 kDa. *P<0.05 vs. control. (n=4). (B-D) Immunoreactivity of nNOS protein increased primarily in the ganglion cell layer (GCL) at day 20 (C), relative to the control (B), and returned to basal levels by day 40 (D). ONL: outer nuclear layer, INL: inner nuclear layer. GCL: ganglion cell layer. Scale bar = 100 µm.
Fig. 4

Regulatory effect of NOS-NO related molecules upon neurite outgrowth in the goldfish retinal explant culture. (A and B) Quantitative data for NOS-NO related drugs on neurite outgrowth were obtained from axotomized goldfish retinal explant culture relative to the control (no treatment, 100%). (A) NO generator, NOR2 induced the neurite outgrowth. *P<0.05 vs. control. (n=4). (B) Universal NOS inhibitor, L-NAME inhibited the neurite outgrowth. *P<0.05 vs. control. (n=4). (C-H) Photomicrographs of neurite outgrowth under various conditions: (C) control. Scale bar = 100 µm, (D) 100 µM of NOR2, (E) 500 µM of SNAP, (F) immunohistochemistry of anti-GAP43 antibody in (E), (G) 400 µM of L-NAME, (H) L-NAME plus NOR2.

Fig. 5

Different application time of a NO scavenger on NO-induced neurite outgrowth.

(A) Application of c-PTIO to the culture medium at different time points after NOR2 treatment. c-PTIO application at the same time (0 h) after NOR2 treatment completely blocked the neurite outgrowth by NOR2. c-PTIO application at 3 h after NOR2 treatment slightly blocked the neurite outgrowth, but c-PTIO application at 6 h after NOR2 treatment did not block any neurite outgrowth *P<0.05 vs. control. n = 4. (B-E) Photomicrographs of neurite outgrowth under various conditions. (B) Control, Scale bar = 100 µm, (C) c-PTIO at 0 h after NOR2 treatment, (D) c-PTIO at 3 h after NOR2 treatment, (E) c-PTIO at 6 h after NOR2 treatment.

Fig. 6

Neuronal NOS specificity on neurite outgrowth in the goldfish retinal explant culture. (A) The nNOS specific inhibitor, ETPI, dose-dependently inhibited neurite outgrowth from axotomized retina. *P<0.05 vs. control. (n=4). (B) ETPI (40 µM). (C) Decrease in nNOS
protein level following treatment with nNOS-specific siRNA for 5 days relative to the control or scrambled siRNA. *P<0.05 vs. control. (n=3). (D) The nNOS specific siRNA significantly inhibited neurite outgrowth relative to the scrambled or control treatment. *P<0.05 vs. control. (n=4). (E) Scrambled siRNA, (F) nNOS-specific siRNA. Scale bar = 100 µm.

Fig. 7
Regulatory effect of cGMP related molecules upon neurite outgrowth in the retinal explant culture. (A) Effect of non-specific cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterase inhibitor, IBMX and/or SNAP. *P<0.05 vs. control. (n=4). (B) Effect of dbcGMP, a membrane-permeable cGMP analog. *P<0.05 vs. control. (n=4). (C) Effect of dbcGMP (200-400 µM) and/or ODQ, a sGC inhibitor (100 µM). *P<0.05 vs. control. (n=4). (D-G) Photomicrographs of neurite outgrowth under various conditions: (D) control, (E) 400 µM of dbcGMP, (F) 100 µM of ODQ, (G) dbcGMP plus ODQ. (H) Effect of Rp-cGMPS, a PKG inhibitor and/or SNAP on neurite outgrowth. *P<0.05 vs. control. (n=4). (I) 10 µM of Rp-cGMPS. Scale bar = 100 µm.

Fig. 8
Lacking effect of NO-cGMP related agents upon cell survival. Retinal explants were treated with each agent for 5 days and then cell viability was quantified by MTT assay. (n=4).

Fig. 9
Cyclic GMP promotes optic nerve regeneration in vivo. (A, B) Regenerating nerve fibres in goldfish optic nerve at 10 days after crush under intraocular injection of 2 µl of PBS (A) or dbcGMP (20 mM, B). The nerve fibres were anterogradely labeled with cholera toxin B. The regenerating optic axons treated with intraocular dbcGMP more proceeded over the crush site (marked with an asterisk) than those treated with PBS alone. Scale bar = 100 µm. (C-F) Number of RGCs reinnervating the tectum at 3 weeks after crush under intraocular injection
of 2 µl of PBS (D) or dbcGMP (20 mM, E) relative to the intact control (C). Scale bar = 100 µm. The RGCs were retrogradely labeled with FluoroGold. The number of RGCs in the flat-mounted retina treated with dbcGMP was larger than that of RGCs treated with PBS alone. *P<0.05 vs. PBS, F).

Fig. 10
Schematic diagram of NO-cGMP signaling pathway and its related agents used in this study. Optic nerve lesion initially induces nNOS expression followed by NO-cGMP signaling activation and finally leads to optic nerve regeneration through PKG activation.
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